Increasing mode instability thresholds of fiber amplifiers by gain saturation

Arlee V. Smith* and Jesse J. Smith

AS-Photonics, LLC, 6916 Montgomery Blvd. NE, Suite B8, Albuquerque, NM 87109 USA *arlee.smith@as-photonics.com

Abstract: We show by numerical modeling that saturation of the population inversion reduces the stimulated thermal Rayleigh gain relative to the laser gain in large mode area fiber amplifiers. We show how to exploit this effect to raise mode instability thresholds by a substantial factor. We also demonstrate that when suppression of stimulated Brillouin scattering and the population saturation effect are both taken into account, counter-pumped amplifiers have higher mode instability thresholds than co-pumped amplifiers for fully Yb³⁺ doped cores, and confined doping can further raise the thresholds.

© 2013 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: (060.2320) Fiber optics amplifiers and oscillators; (060.4370) Nonlinear optics, fibers; (140.6810) Thermal effects; (190.2640) Stimulated scattering, modulation, etc.

References and links

- 1. A.V. Smith and J.J. Smith, "Mode instability in high power fiber amplifiers," Opt. Express **19**, 10180–10192 (2011).
- A.V. Smith and J.J. Smith, "A steady-periodic method for modeling mode instability in fiber amplifiers," Opt. Express 21, 2606-2623 (2013).
- A.V. Smith and J.J. Smith, "Influence of pump and seed modulation on the mode instability thresholds of fiber amplifiers," Opt. Express 20, 24545–24558 (2012).
- A.V. Smith and J.J. Smith, "Frequency dependence of mode coupling gain in Yb doped fiber amplifiers due to stimulated thermal Rayleigh scattering," arXiv:1301.4277 [physics.optics](January 18, 2013).
- 5. A.V. Smith and J.J. Smith, "Maximizing the mode instability threshold of a fiber amplifier," arXiv:1301.3489 [physics.optics](January 16, 2013).
- K.R. Hansen, T.T. Alkeskjold, J. Broeng, and J. Laegsgaard, "Thermally induced mode coupling in rare-earth doped fiber amplifiers," Opt. Lett. 37, 2382–2384 (2012).
- K.R. Hansen, T.T. Alkeskjold, J. Broeng, and J. Lægsgaard, "Theoretical analysis of mode instability in highpower fiber amplifiers," Opt. Express 21, 1944–1971 (2013).
- 8. L. Dong, "Stimulated thermal rayleigh scattering in optical fibers," Opt. Express 21, 2642–2656 (2013).
- B. Ward, C. Robin, and I. Dajani, "Origin of thermal modal instabilities in large mode area fiber amplifiers," Opt. Express 20, 11407-11422 (2012).
- C. Jauregui, T. Eidam, H.-J. Otto, F. Stutzki, F. Jansen, J. Limpert, and A. Tünnermann, "Temperature-induced index gratings and their impact on mode instabilities in high-power fiber laser systems," Opt. Express 20, 440-451 (2012).
- 11. G.P. Agrawal, Nonlinear Fiber Optics (Academic, 1995).
- M. Hildebrandt, S. Büsche, P. Wessels, M. Frede, and D. Kracht, "Brillouin scattering spectra in high-power single-frequency ytterbium doped fiber amplifiers," Opt. Express 16, 15970-15979 (2008).
- 13. T. Horiguchi, T. Kurashima, and M. Tateda, "Tensile strain dependence of Brillouin frequency shift in silica optical fiber," IEEE Phot. Tech. Lett. 1, 107-108 (1989).
- J.E. Rothenberg, "Suppression of stimulated Brillouin scattering in single-frequency multi-kilowatt fiber amplifiers," Proc. SPIE 6873, 687300 (2008).
- M.-J. Li, X. Chen, J. Wang, S. Gray, A. Liu, J.A. Demeritt, A.B. Ruffin, A.M. Crowley, D.T. Walton, and L.A. Zenteno, "Al/Ge co-doped large mode area fiber with high SBS threshold," Opt. Express 15, 8290-8299 (2007).
- C. Robin and I. Dajani, "Acoustically segmented photonic crystal fiber for single-frequency high-power laser applications," Opt. Lett. 36, 2641-2643 (2011).

- A.V. Smith and J.J. Smith, "Spontaneous Rayleigh seeding of stimulated Rayleigh scattering in high power fiber amplifiers," Opt. Express. (submitted).
- M.J. Söderlund, J.J. Montiel i Ponsoda, S.K.T. Tammela, K. Ylä-Jarkko, A. Salokatve, and S. Honkanen, "Modeinduced transverse photodarkening loss variations in large-mode-area ytterbium doped silica fibers," Opt. Express 16, 10633-10640 (2008).

1. Introduction

In earlier papers we described a stimulated thermal Rayleigh scattering process (STRS) that can account for observed modal instability in large mode area fiber amplifiers, and we described in detail our numerical model of this process [1–5]. Our model computes the mode coupling gain and the laser gain for fiber amplifiers with practically achievable step index profiles operating at realistic pump and signal powers. It was shown that the quantum defect heating associated with laser amplification, in conjunction with a frequency offset between the strong fundamental mode and the weak parasitic higher order mode, leads to a high exponential gain for the parasitic mode. Consequently, mode instability has a sharp power threshold, above which the output beam quality is severely degraded.

The essence of the STRS process responsible for mode instability is that laser gain necessarily deposits quantum defect heat in the core of the amplifier fiber, and the asymmetric heating produced by the asymmetric signal irradiance profile due to interference between modes LP_{01} and LP_{11} leads to an asymmetric thermal lens that couples light between those two modes. An additional requirement is that there be a phase shift between the temperature grating and the signal irradiance grating which, in our STRS model, is created by the time lag between an irradiance grating traveling along the fiber and the temperature grating that it creates.

Our model imposes a steady-periodic condition on the gain grating because we assume the frequency offset between modes has a narrow linewidth. Alternative STRS models have been presented by Hansen *et al.* [6,7] and by Dong [8]. They used similar approximations, including the steady-periodic assumption. Another model by Ward *et al.* [9] is based on STRS, but without the steady-periodic assumption. Jauregui *et al.* [10] also model temperature gratings in fiber amplifiers.

The primary difference in the physics of the various models is that the models of Hansen *et al.* and Dong assume the profile of the quantum defect heating matches the profile of the signal light, while our model and those of Ward *et al.* and Jauregui *et al.* compute the heat profile based on the local population inversion plus either the local pump or signal irradiance. In the model of Ward *et al.* the increase of signal power in a mode due to laser gain is found from the overlap of the local gain g(x, y, z) with the field of that mode. In our BPM model laser gain increases the total signal field locally and is then apportioned among the modes automatically by diffraction in the presence of the core index step. Either the local signal field growth or the local pump irradiance loss is used to compute the quantum defect heating.

Both our model and that of Hansen *et al.* use the same steady-periodic assumption. Using this treatment they can both include multiple frequencies for the beat note between the coupled modes to address bandwidth issues. However, we find that in computing thresholds using a single frequency at the gain peak produces nearly identical thresholds to using multiple frequencies contained in the gain band. Since added frequencies slow the computation we use a single frequency in all the computations presented in this paper. The seed power is 10^{-16} W to approximate quantum noise. Only step index fibers will be discussed.

Hansen *et al.* and Dong showed that using their assumed heat profile the mode coupling gain is related in a simple way to the laser gain. This would imply that the mode instability threshold is largely determined by the net laser gain, and can be adjusted only by changing the modal profiles and their overlap with the Yb^{3+} doping profile. In fact, they show that by using

a low value of the V parameter or by confining the Yb³⁺ doping to the central portion of the core, the threshold is raised. However, we show in this report that the existence of depletion of the upper state Yb³⁺ population breaks the simple connection between laser gain and mode coupling gain, making it possible to design fibers with substantially higher mode coupling thresholds than predicted by Hansen *et al.* and by Dong. An amplifier that is designed to reach a specific level of laser amplification can be designed to avoid the mode instability. Specifically, by designing the amplifier so it has a higher ratio of pump cladding diameter to core diameter, the STRS threshold can be raised. Of course this requires a longer fiber and a smaller core, a combination that is problematic for SBS suppression. In practice the amplifier must achieve a suitable balance between STRS and SBS suppression. We will also discuss how to achieve this balance.

2. Transverse hole burning

In computing the upper state population fraction n_u we use the steady state expression

$$n_{u}(x,y) = \frac{I_{p}\sigma_{p}^{a}/h\nu_{p} + I_{s}(x,y)\sigma_{s}^{a}/h\nu_{s}}{I_{p}(\sigma_{p}^{a} + \sigma_{p}^{e})/h\nu_{p} + I_{s}(x,y)(\sigma_{s}^{a} + \sigma_{s}^{e})/h\nu_{s} + 1/\tau}.$$
(1)

Here the σ 's are the absorption and emission cross sections for the pump and the signal, the *v*'s are the optical frequencies, and I_p and I_s are the pump and signal irradiances. The amplifier parameters used throughout this report are listed in Table 1. We model a fiber with a 50 μ m diameter step index core with a typical numerical aperture of 0.054. The Yb³⁺ doping density is also typical of high power amplifiers.

Table 1. Amplifier parameters								
d _{core}	50 µm	d_{dope}	30-50 μm					
d_{clad}	100-500 μm	N_{Yb}	$3.0 \times 10^{25} \text{ m}^{-3}$					
λ_p	976 nm	λ_s	1032 nm					
σ_p^a	$2.47 \times 10^{-24} \text{ m}^2$	σ_p^e	$2.44 \times 10^{-24} \text{ m}^2$					
σ_s^a	$5.80 \times 10^{-27} \text{ m}^2$	σ_s^e	$5.0 \times 10^{-25} \text{ m}^2$					
P_p	varies	P_s	10 W					
dn/dT	1.2×10^{-5}	L	varies					
ρ	2201 kg/m ³	С	702 J/kg·K					
n _{core}	1.451	n _{clad}	1.45					
τ	901 µs	Κ	1.38 W/m·K					
NA	0.054	V	8.2					
$A_{\rm eff}$	$1175 \ \mu m^2$	Thermal boundary	$125 \times 125 \ \mu m$					

Figures 1 and 2 show upper state population profiles, $n_u(x, y)$ computed at three points along co-pumped and counter-pumped fiber amplifiers with a cladding diameter of 400 μ m. The signal light is all in LP₀₁ here. Near the input end of the co-pumped fiber the pump is strong and the signal is weak so the upper state population is weakly depleted. The shape of the population depletion closely matches the signal irradiance profile here. The undepleted population in the region with $I_s = 0$ is $n_u = \sigma_p^a / (\sigma_p^a + \sigma_p^e) \approx 0.5$. Further along this fiber, near the crossing of the signal and pump powers, the saturation is strong at the mode center but moderate near the core boundary, while near the output end saturation is strong across the entire core. If the same fiber is counter-pumped the signal and pump powers are approximately equal along the full length of the fiber, so the saturation resembles that of the middle diagram of the co-pumped fiber where



Fig. 1. Signal and pump powers versus z for co-pumped fiber with $d_{\text{core}} = d_{\text{dope}} = 50 \,\mu\text{m}$, $d_{\text{clad}} = 400 \,\mu\text{m}$, operating at the mode instability threshold. The inset figures show the upper state fraction n_u profiles at z = 0.1, 1.4, and 4.0 m. The range of the n_u axis is 0-0.5. The circles on the bottom faces of the frames indicate the edge of the core.



Fig. 2. Same fiber and *z* locations as in Fig. 1 except the amplifier is counter-pumped instead of co-pumped. The threshold powers are slightly different for co- and counter-pumped cases.

#188304 - \$15.00 USD (C) 2013 OSA Received 4 Apr 2013; revised 9 Jun 2013; accepted 10 Jun 2013; published 18 Jun 2013 1 July 2013 | Vol. 21, No. 13 | DOI:10.1364/OE.21.015168 | OPTICS EXPRESS 15171 the signal and pump powers are equal. The degree of saturation would be reduced in a fiber with a smaller cladding, and increased in one with a larger cladding.

The quantum defect heating is proportional to the pump absorption, which is determined from the value of $n_u(x,y)$ and the pump irradiance. The latter is assumed uniform across the pump cladding. We compute the heat deposition rate Q using

$$Q(x,y) = N_{Yb}(x,y) \left[\frac{\nu_p - \nu_s}{\nu_p} \right] \left[\sigma_p^a - (\sigma_p^a + \sigma_p^e) n_u(x,y) \right] I_p,$$
(2)

where the first term in brackets is the quantum defect. The heat profile can be visualized by inverting the depletion profiles in Figs. 1 and 2 and multiplying them by the doping profile $N_{Yb}(x,y)$.

The portion of the heat profile that is responsible for mode coupling gain (STRS gain) is the antisymmetric part created by the antisymmetric part of the signal irradiance. The population saturation strongly influences the shape of this part of the heating. In Figs. 3 and 4 we show cuts through the oscillatory heat profiles at the same three *z* locations as in Figs. 1 and 2. These heat profiles create the oscillating asymmetric part of the temperature profile that couples modes LP_{01} and LP_{11} in the STRS process. From an examination of these heat profiles it will come as no surprise when we show in the next section that strong saturation causes a significant reduction in STRS gain.

3. Mode coupling gain

3.1. Without hole burning

Both Dong and Hansen *et al.* showed that if the heat profile matches the signal irradiance profile over the portion of the core that is (uniformly) doped, the gain of mode LP_{11} satisfies

$$\frac{\partial P_{11}(z)}{\partial z} = \left[g_{11} + g_{01} \chi P_{01}(z)\right] P_{11}(z) = g_{\text{net}} P_{11}(z).$$
(3)

Here we have simplified Dong's expression by assuming there is no depletion of mode LP₀₁ due to STRS, and no linear loss for either mode. The total gain g_{net} for LP₁₁ is its laser gain, indicated by g_{11} , plus the STRS gain, indicated by $(g_{01}\chi P_{01})$, where g_{01} is the laser gain for LP₀₁. The quantum defect heat deposited by laser amplification of LP₀₁ is $(g_{01}P_{01})$ so χ is a real valued coefficient that relates quantum defect heating to STRS gain. For each fiber design χ has a constant value determined by the frequency offset between LP₀₁ and LP₁₁ and the quantum defect, plus the thermal, geometrical, and optical properties of the fiber.

Under the assumption that the shape of the heat profile matches the irradiance profile, χ is independent of z and the modal powers. It depends on the spatial overlap of the two modes with one another and with the dopant profile. Hansen *et al.* and Dong both showed that confined doping reduces the value of χ , as does reducing the V parameter below 5 or so.

We used our model to verify the value of χ for one case analyzed by Hansen *et al.* (Fig. 4 of Ref. [7]). In order to avoid population saturation we used a pump power of 20 kW, $d_{core} = 40 \mu m$, $d_{clad} = 250 \mu m$, and an LP₀₁ seed power of 50 W. Otherwise the fiber parameters were those from Table 1. Our frequency of maximum gain was equal to that of Hansen *et al.*, and our computed a value for χ was within 5% of that of Hansen *et al.* Of course, our fiber was extremely inefficient, using 20 kW to produce approximately 400 W of signal, and depleting the pump by only 2.5%. However, this exercise does demonstrate good agreement between our model and those of Hansen *et al.* and Dong in the limit of low saturation.



Fig. 3. Normalized symmetric part of the heat profile (upper plot) and antisymmetric oscillatory portion of the heat profile (lower plot) for same co-pumped fiber at the same three z locations indicated in Fig. 1. Near the input end the symmetric heat profile closely resembles the LP₀₁ irradiance profile, while the oscillatory part resembles the product of the fields of LP₀₁ and LP₁₁. Farther along the fiber the symmetric part of the heat profile becomes nearly flat topped while the antisymmetric part is strongly suppressed near the center (x = 0).



Fig. 4. Normalized symmetric part of the heat profile (upper plot) and antisymmetric oscillatory portion of the heat profile (lower plot) for the same counter-pumped fiber at the same three *z* locations indicated in Fig. 2. The oscillatory anti symmetric heat profile never closely matches the product of the fields of LP₀₁ and LP₁₁.

3.2. With hole burning

The model comparison just described illustrates the lack of realism of the assumption of equal shapes for the heat and irradiance profiles. For the heat profile to match the light profile the pump irradiance must be much stronger than the signal irradiance. However, amplifiers that efficiently convert pump power necessarily experience strong population saturation. To emphasize this contrast between our model and one that assumes matching heat and irradiance profiles and thus constant χ values, we define a new shape factor χ' as

$$\chi' = \frac{g_{\rm comp} - g_s}{g_s P_s} = \frac{g_{\rm strs}}{g_s P_s} \tag{4}$$

where g_{comp} is the total gain of LP₁₁ computed with our model, replacing the g_{net} of Eq. (3), g_s is the computed signal laser gain, and g_{strs} is the STRS gain. We do not distinguish between the nearly equal laser gains for the two modes in this high V fiber with fully doped core. Variables P_s , g_s , and g_{strs} are all z dependent computed values. The denominator is proportional to the total deposited heat. Figure 5 shows plots of χ' for co-pumped and counter-pumped amplifiers.

The upper plot is for a 100 μ m diameter cladding; the lower plot is for a 400 μ m diameter cladding. Saturation effects are stronger with the larger cladding because of the reduced pump irradiances. In both fibers the value of χ' near z = 0 for the co-pumped case is nearly equal to the χ we compute in the limit of zero saturation, as expected because of the low degree population saturation there. As z increases the population saturation strengthens so the value of χ' falls, implying the mode coupling gain is reduced relative to the laser gain. This gain reduction raises the STRS threshold relative to that of Hansen *et al.* and Dong. In the small cladding fiber the reduction does not occur until half way along the fiber while in the large cladding fiber it occurs much sooner.

As expected from the nearly constant ratio of pump to signal for the counter-pumped fibers, the value of χ' is nearly constant along the fiber. However, its value is still reduced to a level seen in the co-pumped fiber near the crossing point near z = 0.5 m for the small clad fiber and near z = 1.4 m for the large clad fiber. It is clear from a comparison of the values of χ' that the STRS threshold should be higher for larger cladding sizes, and the threshold for an efficient amplifier should exceed the Hansen *et al.* and Dong threshold.

Another way to view the same information is to plot g_{comp} , g_s , and the total heat rather than χ' . This is done in Fig. 6 for the same pair of fibers, co-pumped, with $d_{\text{clad}} = 100 \ \mu\text{m}$ (upper plot) and 400 μ m (lower plot).

The expected trend of higher thresholds for larger cladding sizes is illustrated in Fig. 7. The computed thresholds, defined as 1% of the signal power in LP₁₁, are seen to rise with increasing cladding diameters and the resulting increasing degree of population saturation. Coand counter-pumped fibers are found to have similar thresholds in this study, a finding that appears to be quite general based on our experience modeling many different designs. In the fiber design used in Fig. 7, saturation appears to raise thresholds more significantly for confined doping than for full doping, probably because saturation is strong across the entire doped zone. For comparison, we also show in the figure the threshold predicted without saturation. More details for the model runs included in Fig. 7 are listed in Tables 2-5.



Fig. 5. Plots of χ' versus *z* for co-pumped (dashed green curve) and counter-pumped (solid blue curve) fibers operating near the mode instability threshold. The fiber parameters: 50 μ m diameter core and doping, 100 μ m diameter pump cladding (upper plot) and 400 μ m diameter pump cladding (lower plot), 1100 Hz red detuning of LP₁₁, $\lambda_s = 1032$ nm, $\lambda_p = 976$ nm, *NA*=0.054 ($n_{core} = 1.451$, $n_{clad} = 1.45$). In the upper plot the pump powers are 525 W co-pumped, and 493 W counter-pumped. In the lower plot the pump powers are 1200 W co-pumped, and 1350 W counter-pumped. The dotted black line is for zero saturation.



Fig. 6. Plots of laser gain g_s , total gain g_{comp} and total heat versus z for the same copumped fibers and the same operating conditions as in Fig. 5. The upper plot is for a 100 μ m diameter cladding; the lower plot is for a 400 μ m diameter cladding. In both plots it is clear that the gain and heat profiles are not closely matched.

#188304 - \$15.00 USD Received 4 Apr 2013; revised 9 Jun 2013; accepted 10 Jun 2013; published 18 Jun 2013 1 July 2013 | Vol. 21, No. 13 | DOI:10.1364/OE.21.015168 | OPTICS EXPRESS 15177

(C) 2013 OSA



Fig. 7. Output signal powers at the instability threshold for different pump cladding diameters (100, 200, 300, 400, 500 μ m). The parameters: $d_{core} = 50 \,\mu$ m, $\lambda_p = 976 \,\text{nm}$, $\lambda_s = 1032 \,\text{nm}$, signal seed powers are 10 W in LP₀₁ and 10^{-16} W in LP₁₁. The lengths of the fibers are adjusted the minimum value necessary to achieve high efficiency, defined as pump absorption > 0.95. The solid curve at 345 W indicates the threshold computed in the limit of no population saturation for $d_{dope} = 50 \,\mu$ m. Details are given in Tables 2-5.

4. Tables

In the following tables Δv is the frequency of LP₀₁ minus the frequency of LP₁₁.

P_{thres} [W] $d_{\text{clad}} \, [\mu \text{m}]$ Δv [Hz] *L* [m] $\int Pdz [W \cdot m]$ Leff γ 1100 0.8 161 0.330 100 488 1 200 1100 1.6 685 603 0.880 1.51 300 1100 2.6 1375 1.55 3.44 885 400 1100 4.0 1101 2789 2.53 6.97 500 1100 6.0 1335 5338 4.00 13.3

Table 2. Thresholds: co-pumped, $d_{core} = 50 \ \mu m$, $d_{dope} = 50 \ \mu m$

Table 3. Thresholds: counter-pumped, $d_{core} = 50 \ \mu m$, $d_{dope} = 50 \ \mu m$

$d_{\text{clad}} [\mu \text{m}]$	Δv [Hz]	<i>L</i> [m]	P_{thres} [W]	∫ <i>Pdz</i> [W·m]	$L_{\rm eff}$	γ
100	1100	0.8	453	98	0.216	1
200	1100	1.6	676	281	0.416	1
300	1100	2.6	921	634	0.688	1.58
400	1100	4.0	1220	1288	1.06	3.22
500	1100	6.0	1580	2429	1.54	6.07

Table 4. Thresholds: co-pumped, $d_{core} = 50 \ \mu m$, $d_{dope} = 40 \ \mu m$

$d_{\rm clad}$ [µm]	$\Delta v [\text{Hz}]$	<i>L</i> [m]	P_{thres} [W]	$\int P dz [W \cdot m]$	$L_{\rm eff}$	γ
100	1400	0.9	549	204	0.372	1
200	1400	1.9	844	893	1.06	2.23
300	1400	3.2	1185	2290	1.93	5.72
400	1400	5.0	1567	4974	3.17	12.4

Table 5. Thresholds: co-pumped, $d_{core} = 50 \ \mu m$, $d_{dope} = 30 \ \mu m$ $d_{\text{clad}} \left[\mu \mathbf{m} \right]$ Δv [Hz] *L* [m] P_{thres} [W] $\int Pdz [W \cdot m]$ L_{eff} γ 1900 493 1.23 100 1.4 786 0.627 1311 200 1900 3.0 2257 1.72 5.64 300 1975 7757 1900 6.0 3.93 19.4

5. Stimulated Brillouin scattering (SBS) suppression

Designing a narrow bandwidth fiber amplifier suitable for beam combining applications requires balancing SBS and STRS. Here we present a simplified version of this balancing act. We define an effective SBS gain length at the STRS threshold by

$$P_{\rm thres}L_{\rm eff} = \int_0^L P_s(z)dz. \tag{5}$$

The SBS threshold power [11] is exceeded if

$$\frac{g_B}{\gamma} \frac{P_{\text{thres}} L_{\text{eff}}}{A_{\text{eff}}} > 17, \tag{6}$$

where the 17 comes from the usual SBS threshold gain value of 21 minus the laser gain for the Stokes wave [11]. The γ parameter is an SBS gain reduction factor that reduces the effective SBS gain from its nominal value of $g_B = 5 \times 10^{-11}$ m/W for silica. The linewidth for silica is approximately 50 MHz and the Stokes shift is approximately 16 GHz for $\lambda = 1032$ nm. The value of γ can be increased by broadening the signal linewidth by phase modulation [11] above 50 MHz, for example, or by introducing a temperature gradient [12] or a strain gradient [13,14] along the fiber length to vary the Stokes shift. Another approach is to vary the acoustic velocity, and thus the Brillouin shift, across the core region [15, 16]. Temperature and strain shift the SBS frequency by known amounts, so from the signal linewidth and the temperature and strain gradient one can estimate γ using an SBS model. To avoid SBS the value of γ must satisfy

$$\gamma > \frac{g_B P_{\text{thres}} L_{\text{eff}}}{17 A_{\text{eff}}}.$$
(7)

For our 50 μ m diameter core, $A_{\text{eff}} = 1175 \ \mu\text{m}^2$, so the SBS threshold condition is

$$\gamma = \frac{P_{\text{thres}}L_{\text{eff}}}{400 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}}.$$
(8)

If $P_{\text{thres}}L_{\text{eff}} > 400 \text{ W}\cdot\text{m}$, this expression gives the minimum value of γ necessary to suppress SBS.

In Fig. 8 we plot the STRS threshold powers versus the quantity $P_{\text{thres}}L_{\text{eff}}$ for our example fiber with $d_{\text{core}} = 50 \ \mu\text{m}$. Selecting an arbitrary value for $P_{\text{thres}}L_{\text{eff}}$ on the horizontal axis (or equivalently the value of γ), the values of the STRS threshold can be read from the computed curves. From the four curves it appears that for any value of γ the counter-pumped fully doped amplifier provides the highest STRS threshold power, the co-pumped fully doped amplifier offers the lowest STRS threshold power, while the confined doping, co-pumped amplifiers give intermediate threshold powers.



Fig. 8. Plot of signal threshold output power versus $P_{\text{thres}}L_{\text{eff}}$ for the 50 μ m core fiber. The curves are spline fits to the computed data points. For $P_{\text{thres}}L_{\text{eff}} = 2400 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}$ ($\gamma = 6$) the threshold powers are 1050 W for co-pumped and fully doped; 1205 W for co-pumped and $d_{\text{dope}} = 40 \ \mu\text{m}$; 1347 W for co-pumped and $d_{\text{dope}} = 30 \ \mu\text{m}$; 1572 W for counter-pumped and fully doped.

#188304 - \$15.00 USD (C) 2013 OSA Received 4 Apr 2013; revised 9 Jun 2013; accepted 10 Jun 2013; published 18 Jun 2013 1 July 2013 | Vol. 21, No. 13 | DOI:10.1364/OE.21.015168 | OPTICS EXPRESS 15180

6. Scaling for other core/cladding sizes

To first order changing the core diameter while keeping the ratio $d_{\text{core}}/d_{\text{clad}}$ fixed, and keeping NA = 0.054, the threshold powers do not change. The frequency offset changes proportional to $1/A_{\text{eff}}$ but the degree of saturation, and thus the value of χ' is unaltered.

However, for $d_{\text{core}} < 30 \ \mu\text{m}$, the value of V is reduced to less than 5, so the mode overlap with the core becomes noticeably weaker, which tends to raise the STRS threshold [6,8]. At the other extreme, for $d_{\text{core}} > 80 \ \mu\text{m}$, thermal lensing becomes significant, and this constriction of the modes may alter the STRS threshold.

As d_{core} changes the area A_{eff} changes and this changes the value of γ required to suppress SBS for a given value of $P_{\text{thres}}L_{\text{eff}}$ according to Eq. (8). Similar powers are possible, while avoiding both SBS and STRS, but the value of γ necessary to avoid SBS scales approximately as $1/d_{\text{core}}^2$. If there is a constraint on γ imposed by beam combining requirements, for example, this implies a lower limit on the core size.

7. Scaling for other LP₁₁ starting powers

The thresholds computed in this study are based on an input signal power of 10^{-16} W in LP₁₁, with a frequency shift to the STRS gain maximum, or threshold minimum. This input power level corresponds approximately to the quantum noise limit, and serves as a standard point of comparison among models. Actual starting powers will be considerably higher than this if there is amplitude modulation on the pump or signal seed with a modulation frequency near the optimum STRS frequency shift [3, 4]. Thermal noise is probably 2-3 factors of 10 higher than this as well [17]. More realistic thresholds would be based on input powers of perhaps 10^{-10} W, but the exact level will require measurements of the modulation properties of the pump and seed. The reference thresholds presented here (P_{ref}) can be used to estimate the threshold for a higher input power (P_{start}) using

$$P_{\rm thres} = P_{\rm ref} \frac{\log(P_{\rm start}/10)}{\log(10^{-16}/10)} \tag{9}$$

where the divisors of 10 are present because our definition of threshold as 1% of the power in LP_{11} implies a threshold output power of order 10 W in LP_{11} .

The thresholds computed in this study are also based on negligible signal loss due to absorbing impurities in the glass or due to photodarkening. The presence of these processes adds heat with a profile that contributes to STRS gain, and so reduces the thresholds [5].

8. Conclusion

We showed that transverse hole burning, or saturation of the population inversion, in a Yb³⁺ doped fiber can strongly influence the STRS or mode coupling gain, and this effect can be exploited to substantially raise mode instability thresholds. The degree of saturation can be manipulated by changing the ratio of pump irradiance to signal irradiance through choice of core and cladding sizes, injected seed power, and doping profile. Generally we find that otherwise identical co- and counter-pumped configurations have nearly equal thresholds even though the *z* dependence of saturation is quite different in the two.

The benefits of high saturation persist when SBS suppression is considered, even though high saturation implies longer fibers with higher $P_{\text{thres}}L_{\text{eff}}$ values. The benefits of confined doping also survive the requirement of SBS suppression.

Fibers with high saturation have the added advantage of minimizing photodarkening, assuming photodarkening increases with higher upper state population density, as experiments seem to indicate [18].

We have shown that saturation has a strong effect on thresholds for step index fibers. Other, more complex refractive index profiles might be designed to raise the instability threshold by changing the shapes of the interacting modes. However, saturation can be expected to have a strong influence in those as well.